

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)
	10/530,736		PHILLIPS ET AL.
	Examiner		Art Unit
	MARIANNE DIBRINO		1644

All Participants:

(1) MARIANNE DIBRINO.

(2) DANIEL M. CHAMBERS.

Date of Interview: 7 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: under examination

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 6:03 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Chambers, as Applicant's response filed 8/14/09 to the requirement for restriction/election mailed 2/20/09 was not fully responsive. Mr. Chambers elected the linear peptide recited in claim 19 and also wherein administering the lymphocytes intravenously comprises administering the glycoconjugate recited in claims 12 and 13 as per the recitation of the said claims.